Case	2:25-cv-01466-SB-JPR	Document 7 #:112		Page 1 of 11	Page ID
1		#.112			
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9	JOSEPHINE VILLASENOR		Case No. 2:25-cv-01466-SB-JPR		
10	Plaintiff(s)	,	MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE (MSC) ORDER		
11	v. AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, et al.		[Updated 03/1/24]		
12	Defendant(s).				_
13			Date:	March 21, 202	5
14			Time:	8:30 a.m.	
15			Courtroom:	6C	
16	READ THIS ORDER CAREFULLY BECAUSE IT CONTROLS THIS CASE				
17	AND DIFFERS IN PART FROM THE LOCAL RULES. FAILURE TO COMPLY MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS				
18	This case has been assigned to Judge Stanley Blumenfeld, Jr. and is set for a				
19					
20	scheduling conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) on the above date in				
21	Courtroom 6C of the First Street Courthouse, 350 West First Street, Los Angeles,				
22	CA, 90012.				
23	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
	1.	Preliminary Ma	<u>atters</u>		
24	2. Mandatory Scheduling Conference (MSC)				
25	3. Joint 26(f) Report				
26	4. <u>Case Management Order (CMO)</u>				
27					_
28					

1. PRELIMINARY MATTERS^{#:113}

a. Self-Represented Parties. Parties appearing pro se must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules. See L.R. 1-3, 83-2.2.3. "Counsel," as used in this order, includes parties appearing pro se.

- **b. Notice to be Provided by Counsel**. The plaintiff's counsel or, if the plaintiff is appearing pro se, defense counsel, shall provide this Order to all known parties who have not yet appeared or who appear after the date of this Order.
- c. Court's Website. This and all other applicable standing orders in this case are available on Judge Blumenfeld's webpage (https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-stanley-blumenfeld-jr). The Local Rules are available on the Central District of California website (https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/court-procedures/local-rules).
- d. Pleadings. If the plaintiff has not previously served the operative complaint on all defendants, the plaintiff shall promptly do so and file proof(s) of serve and file a responsive pleading and file proof of service within 3 days thereafter. At the scheduling conference, the Court will set a deadline—usually within approximately 60 days—for hearing motions to amend the pleadings or add parties (including Doe defendants).
- e. Disclosures and Discovery. The parties are reminded of their obligations to (i) confer on a discovery plan at least 21 days before the scheduling conference and (ii) make initial disclosures within 14 days after the parties' Rule 26(f) conference. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(C) & (f). The Court encourages counsel to agree to make their initial disclosures and commence discovery *before* the scheduling conference, because the Court will impose strict deadlines to complete discovery in the case management order.

2. MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE (MSC)

- a. Continuance. A request to continue the scheduling conference will be granted only for good cause. The parties should plant to file the joint Rule 26(f) report on the original due date even if a continuance of the MSC is granted. The Court will not continue the MSC to allow the parties to explore settlement.
- b. Participation. Lead trial counsel must attend the scheduling conference, unless excused by the Court for good cause shown in a declaration attached to the report. The Court often explores factual and legal issues at the MSC, and counsel should be prepared to address all aspects of the case.
- c. Remote Appearances. Remote appearances are not permitted except for good cause shown in a declaration attached to the report. Instructions for remote appearance can be found on Judge Blumenfeld's webpage. Counsel appearing remotely are responsible for ensuring that their equipment and the internet connection in the location from which they will be participating are reliable and adequate for uninterrupted video participation.

3. **JOINT RULE 26(F) REPORT**

- **a. Due Date**. File the joint Rule 26(f) report *no later than* <u>10 days</u> before the scheduling conference. An order to show cause will issue if the report is not timely filed.
- **b. Jointly Filed**. The plaintiff shall draft the report–unless the plaintiff is self-represented and not a lawyer or the parties agree otherwise–but it shall be jointly signed and filed (i.e., a single report submitted by all parties).
- **c. Format and Contents**. The Court requires strict compliance with its requirements for both the format and contents of the report. Under the

- b. *Manual for Complex Litigation Procedures*. Whether these procedures should be used in whole or part.
- c. *Other Issues*. A statement of any other issues affecting case management–e.g., unusually complex technical issues, discovery in foreign jurisdiction(s), protective-order disputes, accommodation needs (interpreters, ADA requests, etc.), and any proposed ordering of proof (severance, bifurcation, etc.).
- d. ERISA Cases Involving Benefit Claims. The parties need not file a joint Rule 26(f) report. Instead, they shall file a joint report that sets forth: (1) the facts and legal issues in the case; (2) the status of settlement discussions and the selected form of alternative dispute resolution (ADR-1, ADR-2, or ADR-3); and (3) any special issues that should be considered. The parties should proceed with the preparation of the administrative record and briefing without delay upon service of the complaint. A court trial, ordinarily limited to oral argument on the administrative record, will be scheduled within six months from the filing of the original complaint, unless good cause for additional time is shown in the status report. If the Court concludes that the decision would not benefit from oral argument, the matter may be submitted for decision on the papers.

4. CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER (CMO)

a. Continuances. The CMO deadlines will *not* be continued absent a *timely* showing of *good cause* presented in a Word document along with a proposed order delivered to Judge Blumenfeld's email (SB_Chambers@cacd.uscourts.gov). The Court applies the same standard of good cause to all extension requests—whether opposed, unopposed or jointly requested.

- (1) Good cause. Good cause requires a specific, detailed, and non-conclusory showing of diligence from the outset of the case, describing: (1) all relevant work previously done (including when each item was completed), (2) all relevant work that remains to be done, (3) why the remaining work could not previously have been done (including efforts made to complete each remaining item), and (4) why the amount of time requested is needed to complete the remaining work. This information *must* be provided in table form contained in the attachment to the CMO.
- (2) Diligence. Diligence generally will not be found when a party opts for strategic staging of discovery (or other tasks) or for in-person deposition that prevents completion within the existing deadline. The parties should plan to complete discovery far enough in advance of the discovery deadline to allow for both the filing of a discovery motion if necessary and the completion of any court-ordered discovery. Moreover, a desire to engage in settlement discussions generally does not constitute good cause to extend discovery deadlines. The parties are strongly encouraged to agree to exchange initial disclosures promptly and to actively commence discovery before the MSC.
- Extension Order Template on <u>Judge Blumenfeld's webpage</u> under "Orders & Additional Documents" at the bottom of the webpage.

 Please follow the highlighted directions at the end of the document.

 File the Proposed Order and submit an electronic Word copy to Judge Blumenfeld's <u>Chambers email</u>. Failure to use and properly submit the CMO Extension template will result in the striking or summary denial of the request.

(4) Denied with Prejudice. Denial of an extension request, including summary denial, is with prejudice. The parties should therefore present all available information showing that the outstanding discovery or other litigation tasks cannot be completed within the existing deadlines despite all reasonable diligence from the outset of the case. A party is not permitted to resubmit a denied extension request with information that was either previously submitted or previously available.

* * *

Failure to comply with the procedural requirements above—including the use and proper submission of the table in the attachment to the CMO and the CMO Extension template—may result in the extension request being stricken or summarily denied. An improper resubmission of a denied extension request may result in sanctions.

- Motion Deadlines. All hearing deadlines reflect the last day when a motion may be heard, and a hearing must be set on an open hearing date. Hearing dates are closed at least four weeks in advance and may be closed earlier depending on the volume of motions scheduled.
 Consult JudgeBlumenfeld's webpage before scheduling a hearing to determine availability. A party that waits until the last day to have a motion heard risks having the motion stricken and deemed untimely if the motion is set on a date that turns out to be unavailable or is otherwise deficient. Do not wait until the last minute to file.
- c. Juvenile Records. If the parties intend to seek juvenile court records related to this case, they shall take steps *immediately* to obtain them including filing any petition or application in the relevant court. The failure to take immediate action will be considered a lack of diligence and likely will result in the denial of a request to extend a deadline based

Case	2:25-cv-01466-SB-JPR Document 7 Filed 02/21/25 Page 11 of 11 Page ID				
1	on the need for juvenile records. To the extent that the parties seek				
2	additional time for discovery because of the asserted need for juvenile				
3	records, they shall provide a table in the joint Rule 26(f) report				
4	identifying each allegation in the complaint that requires discovery of				
5	juvenile records, and for each such allegation they shall (a) identify the				
6	relevant juvenile records, (b) describe the relevance of the juvenile				
7	records to the allegation (and to the related claim or defense), and				
8	(c) state and explain whether (and the extent to which) the parties can				
9	obtain discovery about the allegation by means other than a review of the				
10	juvenile records. Absent a sufficient showing of need, the parties				
11	should not expect additional time to complete discovery because of a				
12	purported need for juvenile records. An incomplete table, or conclusory				
13	assertions of need, shall be deemed insufficient. The parties act at their				
14	peril if they elect to delay taking discovery until they receive juvenile				
15	records when they reasonably could be expected to do otherwise.				
16	d. Protective Orders . If the parties believe that the production of certain				
17	evidence in this case requires a protective order, they shall promptly take				
18	steps to agree on appropriate terms or, if necessary, seek relief from the				
19	magistrate judge. The parties should not expect the Court to find that				
20	they have been diligent or to allow additional time to complete discovery				
21	if they delay obtaining a protective order and then wait to seek or				
22	produce discovery until the protective order is in place.				
23					
24					
25	OPER				
26	Date: February 21, 2025				
27	Stanley Blumenfeld, Jr. United States District Judge				